


Dominant Market Segmentation Map for the Local Authority of Oxford

Dominant Market Segmentation data is shown at the Lower Super Output Area (LSOA) level. Where more than one of the 19 market segments is dominant the segment is classified as "Multiple Segments". Note that some market segments are never dominant and therefore not shown in the Legend.

Creating an active nation through sport dor





To: Club Chairman Date: Monday 14 February 2011

Dear Madam/Sir

OXFORD CITY COUNCIL PLAYING PITCH AND OUTDOOR SPORTS STUDY

Oxford City Council is undertaking a study of playing pitches and outdoor sports facilities throughout the City. This will update and replace an earlier study, which is now several years old.

There are many key objectives for the development of the study, some of which are as follows:

- During times of change for local authorities, the study will provide direction and set priorities for each sport, aligning with the local need
- It provides a robust need for capital funding
- It helps demonstrate the value of the leisure and parks services
- It is one of the best planning tools for pitch/outdoor sports facility protection and provides a basis for establishing new pitch requirements
- It provides better information to residents and other users of sports pitches/outdoor sports facilities
- It will assist the sports development team to identify where there is an under/oversupply of facilities

As part of the study, we are collating information on where local pitch (football, rugby, hockey, baseball Gaelic football and cricket) clubs and certain other outdoor sports clubs (bowls, tennis, netball and athletics) play, the provision of facilities and the quality and capacity of these facilities to meet demand from the local community and clubs for recreational play, competition and sports development.

Your contribution is valued and will be essential in the development of the study, informing any future recommendations and/or conclusions made. We therefore hope that you would kindly spend 10 to 15 minutes completing the attached questionnaire. If some of the questions are not relevant or too detailed, please just complete what you can and/or write any comments on a separate piece of paper.

All the information received from this questionnaire will be treated in confidence and used solely for the purpose of this study. All information is protected under the Data Protection Act (1998).

It would be greatly appreciated if you could complete the attached questionnaire and reply by email to cwarden@oxford.gov.uk by **Monday 28**th **February 2011**.

If you have any queries regarding this Survey, please contact me, Courtney Warden, on 01865 25 2729 or email cwarden@oxford.gov.uk

Many thanks in anticipation. We look forward to hearing from you and receiving your completed questionnaire.

Yours sincerely,

Courtney Warden
Development Officer (Oxford City Leisure and Parks)

OXFORD CITY COUNCIL PLAYING PITCH AND OUTDOOR SPORTS FACILITIES STUDY SURVEY OF SPORTS CLUBS

Q1	Firstly, please provide us with a few details about your club	
	Club Name Sport Sport Position Organisation address Postcode Tel E-mail	
Α	INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CLUB	
Q2a	How many members does your club have?	
	Junior Adult Veteran Social/non playing	
	Males	
Q2b	Over the last 5 years has membership	
	Increased Decreased Remained the same	
Q3	Please list and describe ALL teams that are fielded by your club (e.g. Ladies, men's, league teams, competitive teams, juniors etc)	
Q4a	Please list the league(s)/competition(s) your team(s) participate in (if friendlies only, please state)
Q4b	Please give the contact name and telephone number for all of the above league/competition organisers/secretaries	

Less than 1 mile from your main venue Over 1 mile from main venue but withi Outside Oxford City Council area	n Oxford City Cound	cil area	
It would be helpful if we could show approximately how many of your m (If you do not have this information, ple	embers (as a perce	entage) fall in to th	e following groups.
White Mixed Black or Black British Chinese I do not wish to/I am unable to answer	e		h
Are people with disabilities involved	d in your club?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Are there any issues arising from the	to / and state and the state	accessing/using fac	cilities)?
Please give the approx. number of o			
	qualified coaches t	hat operate within	your clubes, please give deta
Please give the approx. number of o	qualified coaches t y standard such a	hat operate within s 'clubmark'? If y	your clubes, please give deta
Please give the approx. number of collists your club accredited with a quality. Which of the following issues are collists based reasons	qualified coaches t y standard such a urrently problemat	hat operate within s 'clubmark'? If your ic for your club? (I	your clubes, please give deta
Please give the approx. number of collisions is your club accredited with a quality. Which of the following issues are collisions.	y standard such a urrently problemations ies	hat operate within s 'clubmark'? If your club? (I Other reasons st of hiring/using facililling membership/sho	your clubes, please give deta
Please give the approx. number of collists your club accredited with a qualification. Which of the following issues are collists based reasons Shortage of good quality playing facilits Lack of, or poor quality, changing faciles Access difficulties (cost, lack of transpose)	y standard such a urrently problemations ies	hat operate within s 'clubmark'? If your club? (I Other reasons st of hiring/using facililling membership/shock of internal financing	your club
Please give the approx. number of collists your club accredited with a qualification. Which of the following issues are collists based reasons. Shortage of good quality playing facilits Lack of, or poor quality, changing facil Access difficulties (cost, lack of transposition). Shortage of suitable indoor training facility.	urrently problematics	hat operate within s 'clubmark'? If ye ic for your club? (I Other reasons st of hiring/using facili lling membership/shock of internal financing ck of external funding	your club
Please give the approx. number of collists your club accredited with a quality. Which of the following issues are collists. Facility based reasons. Shortage of good quality playing facility. Lack of, or poor quality, changing facility. Access difficulties (cost, lack of transpost Shortage of suitable indoor training facility. Shortage of specialist equipment. Restrictions on development from any	urrently problemate ties	hat operate within s 'clubmark'? If you ic for your club? (I Other reasons st of hiring/using facililing membership/shook of internal financing or tage of coaches ortage of volunteers	your club es, please give deta Please tick all that ap ities rtage of members g (subs/fund raising)
Please give the approx. number of collists your club accredited with a quality. Which of the following issues are collists. Facility based reasons. Shortage of good quality playing facility. Lack of, or poor quality, changing facility. Access difficulties (cost, lack of transpost Shortage of suitable indoor training facility. Shortage of specialist equipment.	urrently problemate continuous co	hat operate within s 'clubmark'? If you ic for your club? (I Other reasons st of hiring/using facililing membership/shook of internal financing or tage of coaches	your club

Please complete the tables below, listing the venue(s) that your club use for home matches and training: (example responses are shown in italic) Q 11

MATCHDAY VENUES

Name and Address	Postcode	Facility Details	Hired, Leased	If hired/leased	Hired, Leased If hired/leased Day/times when used
		(size, surface/ancillary)	or Owned	from who?	
Alton Recreation Ground, Kings Road, Alton	GU99 1AB	2 junior grass	Leased	Alton Parish	Sunday 10-12am
		tootball pitch, with changing rooms		Council	

OUTDOOR TRAINING VENUES

Name and Address	Postcode		Hired, Leased or Owned	If hired/leased from who?	Hired, Leased If hired/leased Day/times when used or Owned	
3		surface/ancillary)				
Alton School, Queens Road, Alton	GU99 1CD	junior grass football Hired pitch, no changing	Hired	Alton School	Tuesday 6-7pm	
		rooms				_
						_
						_

INDOOR TRAINING VENUES

Name and Address Postco	Postcode	y Details	sed	If hired/leased	If hired/leased Day/times when used	
	- "	(size, surface/ancillary)	or Owned	Irom who?		-
Alton Leisure Centre, Prince Road, Alton GU99 1EF		3 court sports hall, Hired	Hired	Alton Leisure	Thursdays 8-10pm	
		with changing		Centre		

Q12	Have you ever experienced any difficulties in:
	a) Obtaining facilities for home matches? Yes No
	b) Obtaining facilities for training purposes? Yes No
	If YES, please give details
В	INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR HOME GROUND
Q13a	Does your club/team own its home ground? Yes No
	If Yes, do you have an open access policy i.e. can other groups or clubs use your facilities by arrangement? (Please give details)
Q13b	If No, please state who owns it: ☐ Local Authority ☐ Trust ☐ Private ☐ School/Education Authority ☐ Other (please state)
	☐ Private ☐ School/Education Authority ☐ Other (please state)
Q13c	Do you: ☐ Lease (7 years+), ☐ Rent (from year to year) or ☐ Hire the facility?
4.00	
Q14	Please rate the following aspects of your MAIN pitch and other facilities
	Good Acceptable Poor Good Acceptable Poor
	Drainage
	Grass Cover
	Evenness of ground Pitch maintenance DETAIL Properties of ground Pitch maintenance DETAIL PROPERTIES DE LA CONTRE DE L
	Freedom from dog fouling
	Access for the disabled
	Good Acceptable Poor Not applicable
	Changing facilities
	Showers
	Car parking
Q15	Do any of the above (Q14), or any other factors (such as overuse, availability of pitches) make it difficult for your club to accommodate all its home matches or expand its activities?
	unificult for your club to accommodate all its nome matches or expand its activities?
	Yes No No
If YES	, please give full details
	, p

Q16a	6a How many matches (approx) do you play on your pitch each season?	
Q16b	6b How many games (approx) were cancelled due to the pitch/facility condition las please tell us why?	
Q16c	6c Does your club train on your main pitch? Yes	
Q17	7 Which do you consider to be the three <u>best</u> and <u>worst</u> pitches in the Oxford are	a?
	a Best b Worst 1 1 2 2 3 3	
С	OTHER INFORMATION	
Q18	8 What future plans does your club have? Increase the number of members Increase the number of teams Expand the range of facilities provided Refurbish existing facilities Relocation to different premises None Other (please state).	
Q19	9 Are there any improvements which you would like to see at your club, or are the concerns that have not been adequately covered by previous questions that yo raise?	
	70 Finally, how would you like to give your views and comments on pitches/outdoor to Oxford City Council in the future? (E.g. open meeting, email/web service, hotline)	

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE

Please return by email to cwarden@oxford.gov.uk or by post to: Bury Knowle House, North Place, Headington Oxford, OX3 9HY no later than Monday 28 February 2011.

Non Technical Visual Quality Assessment - Individual Pitch Assessment

Site Name: Pitch Type;	Number of games played on pitch each season Number of games cancelled due to unfitness of pitch (excluding frozen pitches) % of games cancelled per season
П	Number of games played on pitch each season Number of games cancelled due to unfitnes % of games cancelled per season
Pitch Number ID: Site ID:	Background information:

Assessment Criteria (please rank each of the following aspects for each pitch with an 'X' in the coloured box to the right of the chosen answer)

About the winter pitch/cricket field								
Grass cover - entire pitch / cricket field	>94%	85-94%	70-84%	%69-09		%09>	Where, 90%+ grass cover should be given "Excellent"; less than 60% should be considered 'very poor'	
ength of grass	Excellent	Good		Poor		Very Poor	The ideal length of grass will vary between sports	
Size of pitch / cricket field	Yes - fully	ılly	No- but adequate	dequate		No - not adequate	Does it meet the NGB standard? See pitch sizes tab for dimensions	
Adequate safety margins	Yes - fully	ılly	No- but adequate	dequate		No - not adequate	Does it meet the NGB standard? See pitch sizes tab for dimensions	
Slope of pitch / cricket outfield (gradient and cross fall)	Flat	Slight	Gentle	Moderate		Severe	Cricket wickets should be flat.	
Evenness of pitch / cricket field Exc	Excellent	Good		Poor		Very Poor	Where field is comletely level = "Excellent"	
Problem Areas: Evidence of Dog fouling	None	_	>	Yes - some		Yes - lots	If no evidence, assume none. May wish to refer to user survey	
Problem Areas: Evidence of Glass/ stones/ litter	None		Υ	Yes - some		Yes - lots	If no evidence, assume none. May wish to refer to user survey	
Problem Areas: Evidence of Unofficial use	None		\ 	Yes - some		Yes - lots	eg informal, casual use, unbooked use, kids kickabout etc. If no evidence, assume none. May wish to refer to user survey	
Problem (Aps): Evidence of Damage to surface	None		<u> </u>	Yes - some		Yes - lots	eg, golf divols, carparking on field etc. If no evidence, assume none May wish to refer to user survey	
raining esimated number of hours per week in season	0	1 to 2 hrs		2 to 4 hrs		4+	Training which takes place on the pitch area	
Changing Accomodation								
Changing Accomodation		Yes			_	No	Is the pitch served by changing facilities	
About the equipment/ wicket								
Winter Sports Only- Goal Posts - quality	Excellent	ŧ		Good		Poor	Upright, straight, painted , for football, goals are there safe net hooks at both ends. If posts are dismantled after game, or are removable goals, assume Excellent.	
Cricket Only - Is the wicket protected when not used		Yes			_	No	Is the wicket protected when not in use - can be roped off or covered.	
Line markings - quality	Excellent	ııt		Good		Poor	e.g. Have they been painted recently; are lines straight and clear etc	
Training area		Yes			_	No	eg nets/ goals/ grids off main body of pitch	

Total Score ##### %

An excellent pitch A good pitch An average pitch A below average pitch A poor pitch

Key: 90%+ 64-90% 55-64% 30-54% Less than 30

53

out of out of

Pitch Equipment

Scoring:

62

#DIV/0i

TOTAL

#DIV/O! #DIV/O!

#DIV/O! #DIV/O!

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0 0%

0

Non Technical Visual Quality Assessment - Changing Accomodation

Site ID	Assessment undertaken by:		
Changing Accom Name	Date of Assessment:		
Site Name:			
Capacity of changing rooms;	(Number of teams that can change at any one time in the facility)	e in the facility)	

Assessment Criteria (please rank each of the following aspects for each pitch with an 'X' in the coloured box to the right of the chosen answer)

Element				Rating	E-				Guidance notes	Comments	Score	% sy
About the Changing Accomodation												
Overall Quality	Excellent		Good	Average	Poor		No changing	Pei	Perceived quality of changing accommodationDoes it look well maintained, clean, safe etc		0	%0
Evidence of vandalism	None		Yes - some	ле		Yes	Yes - lots	Dai	Damage to pavillion, graffiti, broken glass etc		0	%0
Showers	Yes - Good	\	Yes - OK	>	Yes -poor	ı	No	Are	Are there showers facilities, what is their quality (if known)		0	%0
Toilets	Yes - Good	<u> </u>	Yes - OK	>	Yes -poor		No	Are	Are there toliets - what is their condition (if known)		0	%0
Parking	99	Good			OK		Poor	ls t.	is there enough for circa 20 cars, bays marked out etc		0	%0
Links to public transort	9	Good		J	Š		Poor/non	ls t stan	Is the site close to public transport links, proximity to bus stop, train station, hubs.		0	%0
Security	99	Good) X		Poor	of t	Does nie accumotation nots secure - secure toorswindows, evidence of breakins (may get info from User Surveys)		0	%0
Segregated changing		Yes				No		be	be used by both male and female teams at same time		0	%0
											0	%0
Scoring: 0	ont of	4					Key:	6 9	over 90% Excellent 60%-89% Good	•		
								4	40%-59% Average			
Total Score	Score	_	0	%				3(Le	30%-39% Poor Less than 30% Very Poor			

Hierarchy of football pitches and leagues in Oxford

Male Leagues

FA Premier League	FA Premi	er League					
Football League	Football League	e Championship					
Football League	Football Leagu	e Division One					
Football League	Football Leagu	ue Division Two					
National League Step 1	Blue Square Cor	nference National					
National League Step 2	Blue Square Co	onference South	FC)				
National League Step 3	Zamaretto Pro	emier Division	rd United				
National League Step 4		on One South and est	Stadium (Oxford United				
National League Step 5	Hellenic Premier Division		Kassam Stadi				
National League Step 6	Hellenic Divis	ion One West	Kass	lace Farm			
National League Step 7	Oxfordshire Senior League Premier Division	Hellenic Veterans League Premier		Court Pla	Ground		
Oxfordshire Senior		or League Division ne			Barton Recreation		
Oxfordshire Senior		or League Division wo			Barton	Adult Football	


Oxfordshire Junior	Oxford City FA		thes owned by	
Oxfordshire Youth	Oxfordshire Invitation Youth League		Pitc	
Oxfordshire Youth	Oxford Mail Boys League		Junior Footba	Mini Football Pitches owned by Oxford City Council

Female Leagues

	FA Women's Super League						
Step 1	FA Women's Premier League						
Step 2	FA Women's Premier League South						
Step 3	South West Combination	FC)					
Regional Level (Step 4)	Southern Regional Premier Division						
Regional Level (Step 5)	Southern Region League Division One	ord Un	Ε				
County Level (Step 6)	Thames Valley Women's League Div One	Stadium (Oxford United	lace Farm	Ground	l by		
County Level (Step 6)	Thames Valley Women's League Div Two		Court Place	Recreaion	s ownec		
County Level (Step 6)	Thames Valley Women's League Div Four North	Kassam		Barton Re	ootball Pitches owr Oxford City Council		
Oxfordshire Youth	Oxford Mail Girls League			В	Adult Football Pitches owned by Oxford City Council	Junior Football Pitches owned by Oxford City Council	Mini Football Pitches owned by Oxford City Council

Appendix 6a

Map showing the distribution of football pitches in Oxford with no community access.




Key to map of football pitches in Oxford with no community access

Area Committee	North	North	North-East	North-East	Central, South & West	Central, South & West	North-East	East	North-East	East	Central, South & West	Cowley	Cowley	South-East	South-East
Ward	St Margaret's	North	Marston	Marston	Jericho & Osney	Carfax	Marston	St Mary's	Marston	St Mary's	Hinksey Park	Cowley Marsh	Lye Valley	Littlemore	Northfield Brook
Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access
Management	University (College)	Independent School	University	University (College)	University (College)	University	University (College)	University	University (College)	University	University (College)	University (College)	Sport Club	Sport Club	Specialist School
Ownership	University (College)	Independent School	University	University (College)	University (College)	University	University (College)	University	University (College)	University	University (College)	University (College)	Sport Club	Sport Club	Specialist School
Floodlit	z	z	z	Z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	>	z
Quality of ancillary facilities	Good	Good	рооб	Good	Good	рооб	рооб	рооб	Good	Excellent	рооб	Good	Good	Excellent	N/a
Quality of pitches	Good	Good	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Good
Number of designated training pitches	1	1	1	1	~	1	1	1		1		1	1		1
Number of mini pitches			-	-	1	-	-	-		1		1	-	1	1
Number of junior football pitches	1	7	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	-		-
Number of senior football pitches	-	1	2	1	-	2	2	2	2	~	2	-	3	~	1
Site Name	St Hugh's College Sports Ground (shared with Somerville and Wadham College)	The Dragon School	Marston Road Sports Ground	Hertford College Sports Ground	Worcester College Sports Ground	University Parks	Exeter & St Peters College Sports Ground	Christ Church Sports Ground	Trinity, St Catherine's and Lady Margaret Hall Sports Ground	Oxford University Iffley Road Sports Complex	University College Sports Ground (ground share with Corpus Christi)	Oriel College Sports Ground	Rover Sports Ground	The Kassam Stadium	Northfield School
Map ID	~	2	င	4	വ	9	2	°	6	10	£-	12	13	44	15

N.B. As noted in paragraph 3.4, those highlighted cells indicate where an assumption on the overall quality of the pitch(es) and ancillary facilities have been made.

Appendix 6b

Map showing the distribution of rugby union pitches in Oxford with no community access.




Key to map of rugby union pitches in Oxford with no community access

Type Type	University No St North (College) Community Margaret's Access	Independent No North North School Community Access	University No Marston North-East Community Access	University No Marston North-East (College) Community Access	University No Jericho & Central, South & (College) Community Osney West Access	University No Carfax Central, South & Community Access	No Community Access	University No St Mary's East (College) Community Access	University No Marston North-East (College) Community Access	University No Marston North-East (College) Community Access	Independent School C	Access	Independent School Co	Independent No Holywell School Community Access University No Cowley (College) Community Marsh Access	Independent No Holywell School Community Access University No Cowley Access University No Access University No Hinksey Park (College) Community Marsh Access
	University (College)	Independent School	University	University (College)	University (College)	University	University (College)	University (College)	University (College)	University (College)	Independent School		Independent School	Independent School University (College)	Independent School University (College) University (College)
Floodlit	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z		z	z z	z z z
Quality of ancillary facilities	Excellent	Good	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Good	Pood	Good	Good	Pood	Good		Good	Dood Good
Quality of pitches	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Good	Average Good Good	Average	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good		Good	poog 9
Number of junior rugby pitches	1	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı			
Number of senior rugby pitches	~	1	F	-	-	က	-	~	~	-	m	င		-	
Site Name	St John's College Sports Ground (shared with St Anne's College)	The Dragon School	Marston Road Sports Ground	Hertford College Sports Ground	Worcester College Sports Ground	University Parks	Exeter and St Peter's College Sports Ground	Christ Church College Sports Ground	Trinity, St Catherine's and Lady Margaret Hall Sports Ground	Magdalen College Sports Ground	Merton Fields	Magdalen College School		Oriel College Sports Ground	Oriel College Sports Ground University College Sports Ground (ground share with Corpus Christi)
Map ID	~	2	ന	4	2	9	7	∞	တ	10	-	12		13	£ 4t

N.B. As noted in paragraph 3.4, those highlighted cells indicate where an assumption on the overall quality of the pitch(es) and ancillary facilities have been made.

Appendix 6c

Map showing the distribution of cricket pitches in Oxford with no community access.



Key to map of cricket pitches in Oxford with no community access

Area Committee	North	North	North	North-East	North-East	Central, South & West	Central, South & West	North-East	North-East	Central, South & West	Central, South & West	Central, South & West	Central, South & West	Cowley	North-East
Ward	St Margaret's	St Margaret's	North	Marston	Marston	Jericho & Osney	Carfax	Marston	Marston	Holywell	Holywell	Holywell	Hinksey Park	Cowley Marsh	Marston
Access Type	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access	No Community Access
Management	University (College)	University (College)	Independent School	University	University (College)	University (College)	University	University (College)	University (College)	Úniversitý	Independent School	Independent School	University (College)	University (College)	University (College)
Ownership	University (College)	University (College)	Independent School	University	University (College)	University (College)	University	University (College)	University (College)	University	Independent School	Independent School	University (College)	University (College)	University (College)
Floodlit	Z	Z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Quality of ancillary facilities	Good	Excellent	Good	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
Quality of pitches	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Excellent	Good	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Number of senior cricket pitches	က	-	4	~	~	-	~	~	~	-	Γ	~	~	~	_
Site Name	St Hugh's College Sports Ground (shared with Somerville and Wadham College)	St John's College Sports Ground (shared with St Anne's College)	The Dragon School	Marston Road Sports Ground	Hertford College Sports Ground	Worcester College Sports Ground	University Parks	Exeter & St Peters College Sports Ground	Trinity, St Catherine's and Lady Margaret Hall Sports Ground	Oxford University Club	Merton Fields	Magdalen College School	University College Sports Ground (ground share with Corpus Christi)	Oriel College Sports Ground	Magdalen College Sports Ground
Map ID	~	2	က	4	2	9	7	80	တ	10	11	12	13	41	15

N.B. As noted in paragraph 3.4, those highlighted cells indicate where an assumption on the overall quality of the pitch(es) and ancillary facilities have been made.

Team Generation Rates 2009-2011

Football Team Generation Rates

Authority	Year	Senior men (16-45)	Senior women (16-45)	Junior boys (10-15)	Junior girls (10-15)	Mini soccer (6- 9) mixed
Welwyn Hatfield	2009	1:239	1:4134	1:68	1:722	1:187
Derbyshire Dales	2009	1:120	-	1:80	1:813	1:230
High Peak	2009	1:295	1:4,277	1:79	-	1:613
Bournemouth	2009	1:294	1:28,017	1:596	1:1,745	-
Poole	2009	1:431	-	1:259	1:1,030	1:533
Harlow	2009	1:259	1:4,759	1:64	1:632	1:116
Bath & North East Somerset	2009	1:176	1:7,025	1:70	1:555	1:126
Croydon	2009	1:615	-	1:254	-	1:252
East Staffordshire	2009	1:601	1:16,742	1:40	1:103	1:63
Bassetlaw	2009	1:374	1:4,146	1:60	1:503	1:133
Southampton	2009	1:529	1:42,846	1:159	-	1:644
Cornwall	2010	1:261	1:2,289	1:86	1:596	1:170
Tameside	2010	1:440	-	1:62	1:1,281	1:107
East Herts	2010	1:218	1:5,440	1:34	1:825	1:75
Three Rivers	2010	1:250	1:13,057	1:44	1:655	1:126
North Warwickshire	2010	1:210	1:3,284	1:49	-	1:74
Bury	2010	1:449	1:14,685	1:49	1:1,214	1:89
Torridge	2010	1:258	1:8,026	1:120	-	1:235
Sunderland	2010	1:297	1:12,065	1:47	1:710	1:62
LB Barnet	2011	1:699	1:18,466	1:80	1:3,751	1:246
Wokingham	2011	1:363	1:1,957	1:56	1:268	1:35
Coventry	2011	1:408	1:16,004	1:91	1:2,048	1:165
Waltham Forest	2011	1:449	-	1:132	-	1:537

Cricket Team Generation Rates

Authority	Year	Senior men (18-55)	Senior women (18-55)	Juniors (11-17)
Welwyn Hatfield	2009	1:873	-	1:673 (boys) 1:1377 (girls)
Derbyshire Dales	2009	1:515	1:8,972	1:123
High Peak	2009	1:732	1,8789	1:72
Bournemouth	2009	1:630	-	1:419
Poole	2009	1:969	-	1:189
Harlow	2009	1:1,482	-	1:449
Bath & North East Somerset	2009	1:433	1:12,520	1:116

Authority	Year	Senior men (18-55)	Senior women (18-55)	Juniors (11-17)
Croydon	2009	1:2,467	-	1:380
East Staffordshire	2009	1:639	-	1:112
Bassetlaw	2009	1:564	-	1:136
Southampton	2009	1:20,996	-	-
Cornwall	2010	1:617	1:17,201	1:184
Tameside	2010	1:1,185	1:46,933	1:142
East Herts	2010	1:419	1:14,726	1:118
Three Rivers	2010	1:584	-	1:151
North Warwickshire	2010	1:315	-	1:48
Bury	2010	1:1,494	1:39,658	1:150
Torridge	2010	1:472	-	1:379
Sunderland	2010	1:1,515	-	1:468
LB Barnet	2011	1:1,386	1:45,042	1:534
Wokingham	2011	1:632	1:20,158	1:126
Coventry	2011	1:1,262	1:76,570	1:399
Waltham Forest	2011	1:703	-	1:703

Rugby Team Generation Rates

Authority	Year	Senior Men (18-45)	Senior Women (18-45)	Juniors (13-17)	Mini-rugby (8-12) Mixed
Welwyn Hatfield	2009	1:1,370	1:6,503	1:473 (boys)	1:1042
				1:580 (girls)	
Derbyshire Dales	2009	1:986	-	1:119	1:244
High Peak	2009	1:1,910	1:2981	1:267	1:508
Bournemouth	2009	1:3,557	-	1:644	1:1,452
Poole	2009	1:23,893	-	-	-
Harlow	2009	1:4,023	1:13,286	1:505	1:754
Bath & North East Somerset	2009	1:629	1:4332	1:98	-
Croydon	2009	1:3,697	-	1:2,727	1:1,194
East Staffordshire	2009	1:1,917	-	1:168	-
Bassetlaw	2009	1:4,989	-	1:1,174	1:2,336
Southampton	2009	1:7,233	1:39,789	-	-
Cornwall	2010	1:572	1:5,030	1:227	1:281
Tameside	2010	1:4,038	-	1:450	1:1,072
East Herts	2010	1:1,066	1:20,390	1:155	1:284
Three Rivers	2010	1:2,514	1:12,130	1:622	-
North Warwickshire	2010	1:716	-	1:159	1:256
Bury	2010	1:4,857	-	1:417	-
Torridge	2010	1:1,553	1:7,375	1:270	1:735
Sunderland	2010	1:1,394	1:44,425	-	1:769
LB Barnet	2011	1:8,109	-	1:1,247	-

Wokingham	2011	1:2,024	1:14,761	1:466	1:577
Coventry	2011	1:577	1:11,926	-	-
Waltham Forest	2011	1:2,379	-	-	-

Appendix 8a

Understanding the Playing Pitch Modelling for Football (2011 – 2016)

Please note that the figures below are only an example to aid understanding of the modelling process. Correct figures from the assessments undertaken can be found in Appendix 9 – 11.

Stage 1: Identifying teams and team equivalents

Total teams	164
Mini teams	40
Junior teams	63
Adult teams	61

Stage 2: Home games per team per week

Based on principle of one week home, one week away = 0.5 for adult and junior matches.

Based on the principle of home games only for mini football = 1

Stage 3: Total home games per week (this is calculated by multiplying stage 1 by stage 2)

Adult	(61×0.5)	30.5
Junior	(63×0.5)	31.5
Mini	(40 x 1)	40

Stage 4: Temporal (peak) demand for games

	Sat am	Sat pm	Sun am	Sun pm	Midweek
Adult	-	43%	-	37%	19%
Junior	18%	1%	55%	8%	17%
Mini	65%	-	24%	-	11%

Stage 5: Pitches required to meet demand on peak days (this is calculated by multiplying stage 3 by stage 4)

	Sat am	Sat pm	Sun am	Sun pm	Midweek
Adult	-	13.1	-	11.3	5.8
Junior	5.7	0.3	17.3	2.5	5.4
Mini	26	-	9.6	-	4.4

Stage 6: Community pitches available to meet demand

	Secured Community Use	Community Use (non secured)	Adhoc Use	Total
Adult	25.8	14	10.5	50.3
Junio	or 7	-	-	7
Mini	13	-	-	13

Stage 7: Spare capacity or shortfall of pitches (this is calculated by subtracting stage 5 by stage 6)

Results

Assessment of supply and demand – Pitches with secured community use (local authority owned or community use agreement in place).

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	25.8	13.1	+ 12.6
Junior	7	17.3	-10.4
Mini	13	26	-13.0

Scenario 1 - Pitches with secured community use (local authority owned or community use agreement in place) and those pitches that are accessible but there is no formal use agreement in place (i.e. private sports clubs, schools)...

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	39.8	13.1	+26.6
Junior	7	17.3	-10.4
Mini	13	26	-13.0

Scenario 2 - This includes pitches in the assessment of supply and demand and those pitches in scenario 1 with no formal community use agreement that are only accessible on an adhoc basis (these are typically amongst university/college ownership).

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	50.3	13.1	+37.1
Junior	7	17.3	-10.4
Mini	13	26	-13.0

Appendix 8b

Understanding the Playing Pitch Modelling for Rugby (2011 – 2016)

Please note that the figures below are only an example to aid understanding of the modelling process. Correct figures from the assessments undertaken can be found in Appendix 9 – 10.

Stage 1: Identifying teams and team equivalents

Adult teams 23.5 (team equivalent)

Junior teams Mini teams Total teams 23.5

Stage 2: Home games per team per week

Based on principle of one week home, one week away = 0.5

Stage 3: Total home games per week (this is calculated by multiplying stage 1 by stage 2)

Adult (23.5 x 0.5) 11.75

Stage 4: Temporal (peak) demand for games

	Sat am	Sat pm	Sun am	Sun pm	Midweek
Adult	-	38%	-	57%	5%
Junior	-	-	-	-	-
Mini	-	-	-	-	-

Stage 5: Pitches required to meet demand on peak days (this is calculated by multiplying stage 3 by stage 4)

	Sat am	Sat pm	Sun am	Sun pm	Midweek
Adult	-	4.5	-	6.7	0.6
Junior	-	-	-	-	-
Mini	-	-	-	-	-

Stage 6: Community pitches available to meet demand

	Secured Community Use	Community Use (non secured)	Adhoc Use	Total
Adult	5.25	12	4.5	21.80
Junio	or -	-	-	-
Mini	-	-	-	-

Stage 7: Spare capacity or shortfall of pitches (this is calculated by subtracting stage 5 by stage 6)

Results

Assessment of supply and demand – Pitches with secured community use (local authority owned or community use agreement in place).

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	5.25	6.7	- 1.4
Junior	-	-	-
Mini	-	-	_

Scenario 1 - Pitches with secured community use (local authority owned or community use agreement in place) and those pitches that are accessible but there is no formal use agreement in place (i.e. private sports clubs, schools).

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	17.30	6.7	+10.6
Junior	-	-	-
Mini	-	-	-

Scenario 2 - This includes pitches in the assessment of supply and demand and those pitches in scenario 1 with no formal community use agreement that are only accessible on an adhoc basis (these are typically amongst university/college ownership).

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	21.80	6.7	+15.1
Junior	-	-	-
Mini	-	-	-

Appendix 8c

Understanding the Playing Pitch Modelling for Cricket (2011 – 2016)

Please note that the figures below are only an example to aid understanding of the modelling process. Correct figures from the assessments undertaken can be found in Appendix 9 – 10.

Stage 1: Identifying teams and team equivalents

Total teams	30
Junior teams	6
Adult teams	24

Stage 2: Home games per team per week

Based on the principle of teams having more than one home game every two weeks = 0.7

Stage 3: Total home games per week (this is calculated by multiplying stage 1 by stage 2)

Adult (24 x 0.7) 16.8 Junior (6 x 0.7) 4.2

Stage 4: Temporal (peak) demand for games

	Sat am	Sat pm	Sun am	Sun pm	Midweek
Adult	-	71%	-	21%	8%
Junior	-	-	33%	-	33%

Stage 5: Pitches required to meet demand on peak days (this is calculated by multiplying stage 3 by stage 4)

	Sat am	Sat pm	Sun am	Sun pm	Midweek
Adult	-	11.9	-	3.5	1.3
Junior	-	-	1.4	-	1.4

Stage 6: Community pitches available to meet demand

	Secured Community Use	Community Use (non secured)	Adhoc Use	Total
Adult	2.8	6	10	18.8

Stage 7: Spare capacity or shortfall of pitches (this is calculated by subtracting stage 5 by stage 6)

Results

Assessment of supply and demand – Pitches with secured community use (local authority owned or community use agreement in place).

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	2.8	11.9	-9.1
Junior	Adult pitches used	1.4	+1.4

Scenario 1 - Pitches with secured community use (local authority owned or community use agreement in place) and those pitches that are accessible but there is no formal use agreement in place (i.e. private sports clubs, schools).

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	8.8	11.9	-3.1
Junior	Adult pitches used	1.4	+7.4

Scenario 2 - This includes pitches in the assessment of supply and demand and those pitches in scenario 1 with no formal community use agreement that are only accessible on an adhoc basis (these are typically amongst university/college ownership).

	Total Pitches	Peak Demand	Spare capacity/shortfall
Adult	18.8	11.9	+6.9
Junior	Adult pitches used	1.4	+17.4

Oxford City Council Playing Pitch Strategy - Playing Pitch Model Results

Assessment and Scenario Overview 2011 - 2016

As explained in section 3 of the strategy the playing pitch model was used to undertake an assessment of provision and two scenarios.

Those figures indicated with a + indicate a spare capacity / under use and those with a – indicate an under supply / high usage.

Please note; a strategic reserve of 10% has been added to the figures to allow for informal (unbooked) use, training and proper resting of pitches to be considered as per the Sport England 'Toward a Level Playing Field' recommendations.

Assessment of Supply and Demand

This includes pitches that are Local Authority and those pitches that are outside Local Authority control but have a formal joint use agreement.

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provision (+ / -)	2016 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2011 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 11.1	+ 10.4	Spare Capacity decreasing by 0.7
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 12.1	- 12.8	Under supply increasing by 0.7
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	- 15.6	- 20.7	Under supply increasing by 5.1
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	- 10.3	- 11.1	Under supply increasing by 0.8
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 1.3	+ 1.1	Spare Capacity decreasing by 0.2
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	- 2.7	- 3.9	Under supply increasing by 1.2

Scenario 1

This includes pitches that are Local Authority owned and also those pitches that are outside Local Authority control and have a joint use agreement in place or are accessible to sports teams for hire i.e. Private Sports Ground/Schools.

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provisi on (+ / -)	2016 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2011 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 25.1	+ 24.4	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.7
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 12.1	- 12.8	Under supply increasing by 0.7
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	- 15.6	- 20.7	Under supply increasing by 5.1
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	- 5.1	- 5.8	Under supply increasing by 0.7
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 6.5	+ 6.3	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.2
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	+ 10.3	+ 9.1	Spare capacity decreasing by 1.2

Scenario 2

This includes pitches within scenario 1, and those pitches that are accessible/used on an adhoc basis i.e. colleges/university pitches

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provision (+ / -)	2016 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2011 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 35.6	+ 34.9	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.7
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 12.1	- 12.8	Under supply increasing by 0.7
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	- 15.6	-20.7	Under supply increasing by 5.1
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 6.2	+ 5.4	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.8
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 17.8	+ 17.6	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.2
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	+ 14.8	+ 13.6	Spare capacity decreasing by 1.2

Oxford City Council Playing Pitch Strategy - Playing Pitch Model Results

Assessment and Scenario Overview 2011 - 2021

As explained in section 3 of the strategy the playing pitch model was used to undertake an assessment of provision and two scenarios.

Those figures indicated with a + indicate a spare capacity / under use and those with a – indicate an under supply / high usage.

Please note – a strategic reserve of 10% has been added to the figures to allow for informal (un-booked) use, training and proper resting of pitches to be considered as per the Sport England 'Toward a Level Playing Field' recommendations.

Assessment of Supply and Demand

This includes pitches that are Local Authority and those pitches that are outside Local Authority control but have a formal joint use agreement.

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provision (+ / -)	2021 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2016 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 11.2	+ 10.7	Spare Capacity decreasing by 0.5
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 11.4	-11.9	Under supply increasing by 0.5
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	- 14.3	- 15.5	Under supply increasing by 1.2
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	- 10.0	- 10.5	Under supply increasing by 0.5
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 1.3	+ 1.2	Spare Capacity decreasing by 0.1
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	-2.2	- 3.1	Under supply increasing by 0.9

Scenario 1

This includes pitches that are Local Authority owned and also those pitches that are outside Local Authority control and have a joint use agreement in place or are accessible to sports teams for hire i.e. Private Sports Ground/Schools.

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provisi on (+ / -)	2021 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2016 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 23.8	+ 23.3	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.5
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 11.4	- 11.9	Under supply increasing by 0.5
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	- 14.3	- 15.5	Under supply increasing by 1.2
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	- 4.3	- 4.7	Under supply increasing by 0.5
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 5.9	+ 5.9	No change
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	+ 9.9	+ 9.2	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.7

Scenario 2

This includes pitches within scenario 1, and those pitches that are accessible/used on an adhoc basis i.e. colleges/university pitches

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provision (+ / -)	2021 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2016 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 33.2	+ 32.8	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.4
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 11.4	- 11.9	Under supply increasing by 0.5
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	-14.3	- 15.5	Under supply increasing by 1.2
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 6.7	+ 6.3	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.4
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 16.1	+ 16.0	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.1
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	+ 14.0	+ 13.2	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.8

Oxford City Council Playing Pitch Strategy - Playing Pitch Model Results

Assessment and Scenario Overview 2011 - 2026

As explained in section 3 of the strategy the playing pitch model was used to undertake an assessment of provision and two scenarios.

Those figures indicated with a + indicate a spare capacity / under use and those with a – indicate an under supply / high usage.

Please note; a strategic reserve of 10% has been added to the figures to allow for informal (unbooked) use, training and proper resting of pitches to be considered as per the Sport England 'Toward a Level Playing Field' recommendations.

Assessment of Supply and Demand

This includes pitches that are Local Authority and those pitches that are outside Local Authority control but have a formal joint use agreement.

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provision (+ / -)	2026 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2021 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 11.1	+ 9.5	Spare Capacity decreasing by 1.6
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 12.1	- 13.9	Under supply increasing by 1.8
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	- 15.6	- 22.9	Under supply increasing by 7.3
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	- 10.3	- 11.8	Under supply increasing by 1.5
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 1.3	+ 1	Spare Capacity decreasing by 0.3
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	- 2.7	- 5.8	Under supply increasing by 3.1

Scenario 1

This includes pitches that are Local Authority owned and also those pitches that are outside Local Authority control and have a community use agreement in place or are accessible to sports teams for hire i.e. Private Sports Ground/Schools.

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provisi on (+ / -)	2026 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2021 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 25.1	+ 23.5	Spare capacity decreasing by 1.6
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 12.1	- 13.9	Under supply increasing by 1.8
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	- 15.6	- 22.9	Under supply increasing by 7.3
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	- 5.1	- 6.5	Under supply increasing by 1.4
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 6.5	+ 6.2	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.3
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	+ 10.3	+ 7.2	Spare capacity decreasing by 3.1

Scenario 2

This includes pitches within scenario 1, and those pitches that are accessible/used on an adhoc basis i.e. colleges/university pitches

Pitch Type	Age	Peak Time	2011 Provision (+ / -)	2026 Provision (+ / -)	Change from 2021 (+ / -)
Football	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 35.6	+ 34	Spare capacity decreasing by 1.6
Football	Youth	Sunday AM	- 12.1	- 13.9	Under supply increasing by 1.8
Football	Mini	Sunday AM	- 15.6	- 22.9	Under supply increasing by 7.3
Cricket	Senior	Saturday PM	+ 6.2	+ 4.7	Spare capacity decreasing by 1.5
Cricket	Junior	Sunday Am Monday PM Wednesday PM	+ 17.8	+ 17.5	Spare capacity decreasing by 0.3
Rugby (inc team eqv*)	Senior	Sunday AM	+ 14.8	+ 11.7	Spare capacity decreasing by 3.1

Assessment and scenario Overview for football pitch provision by area committee (2011 – 2016)

Assessment of Supply and Demand

This includes pitches that are Local Authority and those pitches that are outside Local Authority control but have a formal joint use agreement.

Scenario 1

This includes pitches that are Local Authority owned and also those pitches that are outside Local Authority control and have a joint use agreement in place or are accessible to sports teams for hire i.e. Private Sports Ground/Schools.

Scenario 2

This includes pitches within scenario 1, and those pitches that are accessible/used on an adhoc basis i.e. colleges/university

Those figures indicated with a + indicate a spare capacity / under use and those with a – indicate an under supply / high usage.

Please note; a strategic reserve of 10% has not been added to the figures as findings would not be significant at ward level. This has however been done for the city wide analysis.

Area	Age	Peak time	Assessment of Supply	t of Supply	Scen	Scenario 1	Scens	Scenario 2
Committee			and Demand	mand				
			2011	2016	2011	2016	2011	2016
Cowley	Adult	Sat PM	1.4	1.3	5.4	5.3	6.9	6.8
	Youth	Tues PM	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Mini	Tues PM	-0.1	2.0-	-0.1	2.0-	-0.1	-0.7
Central,	Adult	Sun PM	6.0-	-1.0	60'-	-1.0	6.7	6.5
South &	Youth	Sun AM	-2.5	-2.7	-2.5	-2.7	-2.5	-2.7
West	Mini	Sat AM	-1.0	-1.9	-1.0	-1.9	-1.0	-1.9
East	Adult	Sat PM	-2.1	-2.1	-2.1	-2.1	-2.1	-2.1
	Youth	Sun AM	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
	Mini	Sat AM	-1.0	1.1-	-1.0	-1.1	-1.0	-1.1
North	Adult	Sat PM	2.0	1.8	6.6	9.1	9.3	9.1
	Youth	Sun AM	-1.0	-1.2	-1.0	-1.2	-1.0	-1.2
	Mini	Sat AM	9.0-	-1.0	9.0-	-1.0	9.0-	-0.1
North East	Adult	Sun PM	3.5	3.3	4.5	4.3	0.9	5.8
	Youth	Sun AM	-4.5	7.4-	-4.5	-4.7	-4.5	-4.7
	Mini	Sat AM	-7.8	4.6-	-7.8	-9.4	-7.8	-9.4
South East	Adult	Sun PM	9.9	9.9	9.8	9.8	8.6	8.6
	Youth	Sun AM	-3.8	-4.0	-3.8	-4.0	-3.8	-4.0
	Mini	Sat AM	-5.8	0.7-	-5.8	-7.0	-5.8	-7.0

This page is intentionally left blank